



NEIGHBOURHOODS & REGENERATION STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP

MINUTES OF MEETING HELD ON 26TH MAY, 2010 AT P.C.A.E.

PRESENT : Cllr Peter Hiller (Chair) – Cabinet Member for Neighbourhood, Housing and Com. Dev.
Paul Phillipson – Executive Director of Operations, PCC
Adrian Chapman – Head of Neighbourhoods, PCC
Allison Conder -
David Copeland – Peterborough Mediation
Karen Franklin – Head of Business Support Operations, PCC
Tim Glossop -
Nick Goodman – GPP
Claire Higgins – Cross Keys Homes
Lindsey Burrows-Howe – Voluntary Sector Reference Group
Karen Moody – Adult Learning & Skills Strategy Manager, PCC
Julie Rivett – Neighbourhoods and Community Engagement Manager, PCC
Anne Senior – Economic Participation Programme Manager, PCC
Kevin Tighe – Head of Cultural Services, PCC
Vanessa Tilling, Go-East

APOLOGIES : Mark Bennett-Tighe – Cambs Fire & Rescue
Jawaid Khan – Community Cohesion Manager
Steve Bowyer – Opportunity Peterborough
John Wilkinson, EEDA
Keith Jones
Margaret Lowe

No.	AGENDA ITEM	ACTION
1.	DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST There were no Declarations of Interest.	
2.	APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE Apologies for absence are noted above.	
3.	MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING & MATTERS ARISING ECONOMIC PARTICIPATION PROGRAMME	

	Regarding the concerns raised by the Board, Lindsay Burrows-Howe (LBH) still felt quite strongly that buy-in was not achieved.	
4.	<p>CITIZEN POWER - PRESENTATION</p> <p>As Sam Mclean was unwell, AC conducted the presentation.</p> <p>Citizen power was a piece of work for RSA in London and was about changing people's behaviour as it recognised the challenges that Peterborough faced and the balance of power. There were three themes, attachment, participation and innovation. This was a 2-year programme in collaboration with the Arts Council and RSA. The current value was £750,000 and was likely to bring in many millions if they got it right. RSA contribution was £250,000, part of the £750,000 over 2 years. There were 7 different projects:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sustainable citizenship • Building recovery capital • Peterborough curriculum • Civic commissions • Arts and social change • Making social media • Civic health audit <p>PP said he had attended a meeting the previous week where there were many high profile attendees discussing a new piece of work which would, quite likely, take place in Peterborough. There would be money to spend from some of this work.</p> <p>PH asked how this would be managed. AC said he would be working with the Arts Council who may base themselves in the city for the 2-year duration. PH asked if there was a timescale and AC hoped this would commence at the end of June with a probable launch in July. www.citizenpower.co.uk for more information. They could possibly be based in the Old Still or the Chauffeur's Cottage. Nick Goodman (NG) said they needed to focus on what worked and what didn't. AC said the offer could be cash on each of the strands.</p> <p>AC suggested this should be a standard Agenda item.</p>	AC
5.	<p>ECONOMIC PARTICIPATION PROGRAMME UPDATE</p> <p>Anne Senior (AS) advised a board said the paper had been drafted and re-drafted written and re-written for the last about 3 weeks because of the recent government announcements concerning regional development agencies and the uncertainty on how this would impact on the programme and as this hasn't been able to be issued as a project and also to do with Government agencies which may impact on them PowerPoint presentation was used to provide key information to Board Members:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Declaration of Interest Forms</u> <u>Requirement for completion and return by all Board Members</u> <u>Annual Audit Requirement</u> • <u>Performance Report 2009-10</u> <u>Budget, Milestones and Outputs</u> <u>Under spend 2009-10</u> <u>PCT Connected Care Research Project - withdrawn</u> 	AC

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.27 cm

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.63 cm

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Economic Participation Programme 2010-11</u> <u>Funding Agreement</u> <u>Funding Allocation</u> <u>Forecast outputs</u> <u>Unallocated funding</u> <hr/> <p>Declaration of Interest forms needed to be signed as it is a requirement for the Economic Participation Programme. Forms would be sent out with the Minutes.</p> <p>Performance report 2009-10 was shown on a separate sheet. AS said the projects had delivered excellent performance in this financial year. There were 10 projects. £6497 output, £218 per project – fantastic value for money. Created 13 new jobs, 37 new businesses in operation for a year. 719 participants involved in local events to promote cohesion.</p> <p>Peterborough Connect Care project will not take place – communication received 21st May. Revenue only projects – 3 enterprise projects, 3 skills projects, 2 employment projects plus programme a management project. Forecast that they will have another 97 businesses creating 76 jobs. Allocated fund £137,326. AS said they needed to spend money very quickly.</p> <p>In relation to the identified unallocated funding for 2010-11, Peter Hiller (PH) suggested reconsidering projects rejected by the Board during 2009-10, but Karen Franklin (KF) felt this was not an option, as we are not clear at this stage how much funding would need to be returned to EEDA following government announcements to withdraw funding, and it would be better to give the money back to EEDA. AS said advised the NRSP Board Sub Group had been progressing project applications that are ready to go, but had stopped work as it seems very likely EEDA will withdraw some of the already allocated funding pending the EEDA decision, there were many projects ready to go although in a protracted process.</p> <p>–AS was asked how the Economic Participation Programme in Peterborough compared with other Local Authorities in the region. AS did not have any comparison figures, and is to contact EEDA for that information, they were still trying to get information.</p> <p>–AS advised the programme can evidence people starting employment who were on benefits. A scenario was produced which showed if all the people who had started in business were claiming benefits there would be a £1.6m saving to the government over the lifetime of the programme. This is just one of the outputs and could be significantly more if the same methodology was applied to the other outputs it was acknowledged not everyone would be on benefits, said they had gotten 88 people off benefits, claiming £3900 p.a.</p> <p>AS said the 3 year Economic Participation Programme is due to end on 31 March, programme was due to finish in March 202011. The Economic Participation Programme team are working closely with the projects to prepare them for closure and sustainability going forward into 2011-12. A sustainability Programme is being developed, and hoped to have a sustainability plan.</p>	<p>AS</p>
<p>6. QUARTERLY PERFORMANCE REPORT</p>	<p>Nick Goodman (NG) said he had hoped to have an updated health check on the city but, unfortunately due to a number of delays, this information was not available and gave his apologies. NG intended that the report would be available at the next quarterly meeting. NG showed what the report would</p>	

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.63 cm

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

	<p>have looked like – a new-style report with one page on each of the priorities. NG felt that the information would be so accessible that he would not even need to stand up to give a verbal report.</p>	NG
	<p>PH said that the report that they get next time would be 2 quarters out of date. NG agreed that, once the report was signed off, this would be circulated.</p>	NG
7.	<p>LAA OUTCOME ACTION PLANS</p> <p>This partnership had fulfilled all three outcomes.</p> <p>AC said that this was colour coded:– Green = project committed; Red = project not going ahead; Amber = project under threat; Blue = may need additional or external funding to make that activity happen.</p> <p>REGENERATING NEIGHBOURHOODS</p> <p>Claire Higgins (CH) said this focused on health and poor living conditions. CH said that job claims had levelled off. pP said they could put another 238 though the Future Jobs Fund. AS said that a lot of businesses were not willing to take on apprentices. Karen moody (KM) said that OP recently commissioned a business survey and one of the questions was about apprentices. AC said there was a Workshop event on the 10th June and would send CH the details.</p> <p>EMPOWERING LOCAL PEOPLE</p> <p>AC said the NI4 was based on people’s perceptions. NI6 was definitions on volunteering. The range of activity was very broad. Most activities were under way. Peterborough Volunteer Centre have had many enquiries but, at the same time, are facing a reduction in funding. However, they have just secured some investment for the rest of the year. They also have to work out how to sustain that level of activity.</p> <p>David Copeland (DC) said that one of the main themes at a recent meeting was the Voluntary Centre – he felt there was a lot of potential there.</p> <p>NG said that national indicators won’t exist in its present form but would always be a bit of a compromise and would be more focussed on local outcomes.</p> <p>PRIDE IN PETERBOROUGH</p> <p>Kevin Tighe (KT) said that two indicators needed to be looked at – neighbourhoods and people’s perceptions; Pride in Peterborough.</p> <p>KT said the main piece of work was to create a new Trust and part of this would be Pride in Peterborough, which included growing the voluntary base. The report was not in a fishbone format because it had been focussed on achieving points rather than Is he the best person to do this? KT said that the most significant project was the regeneration of the Cathedral quarter – a really important project which was not on the list. Lots of good work too not reported in the fishbone format. KT was unhappy with an £800,000 shortfall in this financial year. KT hoped that the next report would see a more joined-up piece of work.</p> <p>Julie Rivett (JR) said that this was perfectly placed for the RSA project.</p> <p>Kt said that Pride in Peterborough was I2. He felt Peterborough was a good place to work and live – the curve was going in the right direction, it just wasn’t</p>	<p>CH</p> <p>AC</p> <p>KT</p>

	reported very well.	
8.	LSP REVIEW UPDATE NG said that recommendations had not been implemented as quickly as they would have hoped. Progress had been made building the LSP into the GPP structure. It had been slowed down by all the different pieces of work going along at their own different paces. They are working towards a GPP Executive meeting on the 2 nd June.	NG
9.	CLOSE OF MEETING There being no further business, the meeting closed at 12.04 p.m.	